arXiv:1610.09474v1 [math.DG] 29 Oct 2016

Deformations of harmonic function germs

Yuki Yasuda

November 1, 2016

Abstract

We study the classification problem of singularities of function-germs with harmonic leading terms of two variables under the right-equivalence. We observe that the multiple actions of Laplacian appear for the classifications of such class of function-germs (Theorem 1).

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the classification of singularities of function-germs of two variables with a non-zero leading term under the right equivalence.

Recall that a function h on $\mathbf{R}^n$ is called a harmonic function if it satisfies the Laplace equation $\Delta h = 0$, where $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$ denotes the Laplacian. In this paper, we study singularities of functions of two variables x and y. Therefore we put $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$.

We will prove that the multiple actions of Laplacian appear for the classifications of function-germs with harmonic leading terms of two variables(Theorem 1).

For example, for all h_k homogeneous harmonic polynomial-germ from $(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0})$ to $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{0})$ of degree k and R_{k+1} with the condition that two times Laplacian of R_{k+1} vanishes, h_k and $h_k + R_{k+1}$ are right equivalent (Corollary 1).

We proved Theorem 1 by some computing in case of (order of the function-germ) ≤ 7 (see [4]).

Recall that any harmonic function-germ on $(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0})$ is a real part of a holomorphic function on $(\mathbf{C}, 0)$.

In this paper, we use the notation for the harmonic polynomials of special type:

$$f_k = \mathrm{Re}(x+iy)^k, \quad g_k = \mathrm{Im}(x+iy)^k = \mathrm{Re}(-i(x+iy)^k),$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and Re (resp. Im) means the real (resp. imaginary) part.

Let $\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2)$ denote the vector space of all homogeneous harmonic polynomial-germs from $(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0})$ to $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{0})$ of degree k. It is known that $\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2)$ is spanned by f_k and g_k. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) = 2$.

Also, we recall the right equivalence for the singularities.

We say that $h_j\colon (\mathbf{R}^m,\mathbf{0})\to(\mathbf{R}^n,\mathbf{0})(j=1,2)$ are right equivalent (written $h_1\sim_{\mathcal{R}} h_2$) if there exists a diffeomorphism-germ $\varphi\colon(\mathbf{R}^m,\mathbf{0})\to(\mathbf{R}^m,\mathbf{0})$ such that $h_1\circ\varphi=h_2$.

By the main Theorem of this paper(Theorem 1), we obtain following statement:

Corollary 1. Let us k be a natural number more than or equal to 5, non-zero homogeneous harmonic polynomial h_k with degree k and the function-germ R with order more than k with condition $\Delta^2 R=0$. Then, h_k and h_k+R are right equivalent.

Note that if $k\leq 4$, then any non-zero harmonic function h_k with degree k is k-determined i.e. for all R with order more than k, h_k and h_k+R are right equivalent (see [4]).

2 Preparations

2.1 Preparations about singularities

We recall the basic definitions and a statement about singularities.

Let k and n be natural numbers in this subsection.

Let $\mathcal{E}_n$ be the set consisting of C^∞ map-germs from $(\mathbf{R}^n,\mathbf{0})$ to $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_n\subset\mathcal{E}_n$ denote the ideal consisting of C^∞ map-germs from $(\mathbf{R}^n,\mathbf{0})$ to $(\mathbf{R},0)$.

Let $h_1,h_2\in m_n$. We call h_1 and h_2 are k-jet equivalent(written $h_1\sim_{j^k} h_2$) if $h_1-h_2\in\mathfrak{m}_n^{k+1}$.

Let $h\in\mathfrak{m}_n$. Then, J_h denotes the Jacobian ideal of h i.e. $J_h=\langle\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}|1\leq j\leq n\rangle_{\mathcal{E}_n}$.

We say that a function-germ $h\colon(\mathbf{R}^k,\mathbf{0})\to(\mathbf{R},0)$ is k-determined if for any $R\in\mathfrak{m}_2^{k+1}$, h and $h+R$ are right equivalent.

We recall k-determinancy.

Proposition 1 (see [3])**.** Let $h\in\mathfrak{m}_n$. Suppose for a natural number k, $m_n^k\subset m_nJ_h+m_n^{k+1}$ holds.

Then h is k-determined.

2.2 Preparations about (poly) harmonic functions

First, we will give basic definitions or statements about harmonic functions.

Let k be a natural number and set $r^2:=x^2+y^2$.

We will prove some properties about f_k and g_k.

Proposition 2. For all natural number k, we have

$$f_{k+1}=xf_k-yg_k,\quad g_{k+1}=xg_k+yf_k.$$

Proof. Let $z=x+iy$. By taking real part or imaginary part of $z^{k+1}=z\cdot z^k$, we have the Proposition 2. □

Proposition 3. Let s and k be two non-zero integers such that $s \leq k$. Then

$$f_s r^{2(k-s)} = f_k f_{k-s} + g_k g_{k-s},$$
$$g_s r^{2(k-s)} = g_k f_{k-s} + f_k g_{k-s}.$$

Proof. Let $z = x + iy$. By taking real part or imaginary part of $r^{2(k-s)} z^s = z^k \bar{z}^{k-s}$, we have the Proposition. □

Definition 1. Let s be a natural number. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a open set and $u \colon \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ be a C^2 function.

Then, we say that u is a harmonic function of order s if u satisfies the following equation:

$$\Delta^s u = 0.$$

Following Propositiongives the expansion of harmonic functions of order s. For the proof, see [1].

Proposition 4 (Almansi Expansion)**.** Let us $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a star domain and $u \colon \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ be a harmonic function of order s.

Then, there exists s polyharmonic functions $h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}$ on Ω such that

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} r^{2s} h_j.$$

Let P_k be the set of all homogeneous polynomials(not necessarily harmonic polynomials) of degree k of two variables.

Proposition 5. See [2]. Let k be a natural number more than or equal to 2. Then

$$P_k = \mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) \oplus r^2 P_{k-2}.$$

We consider polyharmonic polynomials of order s.

Let us analyze $P_s \mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) = \{p_1 f_k + p_2 g_k ; p_1, p_2 \in P_s\}$.

Definition 2. Let k and s be two natural numbers such that $k \geq 2s$. Then, we define $\Delta_k^s \colon P_k \to P_{k-2s}$ as

$$\Delta_k^s = \underbrace{\Delta\Delta \cdots \Delta}_{s}.$$

Proposition 6. Let k and s be two natural numbers such that $k \geq 2s$. Then

$$\operatorname{Ker} \Delta_k^s \supset P_{s-1} \mathcal{H}_{k-s+1}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

Proof. We will prove Proposition 6 by induction on s.

If $s = 1$, this Proposition is clearly.

Assume that this Proposition is correct for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, s_0$. We want to prove that

$$\operatorname{Ker} \Delta_k^{s_0+1} \supset P_{s_0} \mathcal{H}_{k-s_0}(\mathbf{R}^2)$$

i.e.

$$x^{s_0-j}y^j f_{k-s_0}, x^{s_0-j}y^j g_{k-s_0} \in \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_k^{s_0+1} (\forall j \in \{0,1,2,\ldots,s_0\})$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \Delta(x^{s_0-j}y^j f_{k-s_0}), \Delta(x^{s_0-j}y^j g_{k-s_0}) \in \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_{k-2}^{s_0} \supset P_{s_0-1}\mathcal{H}_{k-s_0-1}(\mathbf{R}^2) (\forall j \in \{0,1,2,\ldots,s_0\}).$$

By direct computing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x^{s_0-j}y^j f_{k-s_0}) &= 2(k-s_0)(s_0-j)x^{s_0-j-1}y^j g_{k-s_0-1} - 2j(k-s_0)x^{s_0-j}y^{j-1}f_{k-s_0-1} \\ &\quad + 2(s_0-j)(s_0-j-1)x^{s_0-j-2}y^j f_{k-s_0} + j(j-1)x^{s_0-j}y^{j-2}f_{k-s_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}x^{s_0-j-2}y^j f_{k-s_0} &= x^{s_0-j-2}y^j(x f_{k-s_0-1} - y g_{k-s_0-1}) \\ &= x^{s_0-j-1}y^j f_{k-s_0-1} - x^{s_0-j-2}y^{j+1}g_{k-s_0-1} \in P_{s_0-1}\mathcal{H}_{k-s_0-1}(\mathbf{R}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$x^{s_0-j}y^{j-2}f_{k-s_0} \in P_{s_0-1}\mathcal{H}_{k-s_0-1}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

Thus,

$$\Delta(x^{s_0-j}y^j f_{k-s_0}) \in P_{s_0-1}\mathcal{H}_{k-s_0-1}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\Delta(x^{s_0-j}y^j g_{k-s_0}) \in P_{s_0-1}\mathcal{H}_{k-s_0-1}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

□

Proposition 7. Let k be a natural number and s be a integer more than or equal to 0. Then

$$P_s\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_{s+k}^{s+1} & (s < k-1) \\ P_{s+k} & (s \geq k-1) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. First, we will prove the case of $s < k-1$. By Proposition 4 and Proposition 6, we obtain that

$$P_s\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_{s+k}^{s+1} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{s} r^{2j}\mathcal{H}_{s+k-2j}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

We want to prove that $P_s\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) \supset \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_{s+k}^{s+1} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{s} r^{2j}\mathcal{H}_{s+k-2j}(\mathbf{R}^2)$.

For all $j \in \{0,1,2,\ldots,s\}$, we have $P_j\mathcal{H}_{s+k-j}(\mathbf{R}^2) \subset P_s\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2)$. Also, by Proposition 3,

$$\begin{aligned}r^{2j}f_{s+k-2j} &= f_j f_{s+k-j} + g_j g_{s+k-j}, \\ r^{2j}g_{s+k-2j} &= -g_j f_{s+k-j} + f_j g_{s+k-j}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $r^{2j}\mathcal{H}_{s+k-2j}(\mathbf{R}^2) \subset P_j\mathcal{H}_{s+k-j}(\mathbf{R}^2) \subset P_s\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2)$.

Next, we will prove the case of $s = k-1$. By the first statement of Proposition 7,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k-1}\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) &\supset P_{k-2}\mathcal{H}_{k+1}(\mathbf{R}^2) \\ &= \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_{2k-1}^{k-1} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_{2k-1}(\mathbf{R}^2) \oplus r^2\mathcal{H}_{2k-3}(\mathbf{R}^2) \oplus \cdots \oplus r^{2(k-2)}\mathcal{H}_3(\mathbf{R}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Proposition 5, we have

$$P_{2k-1} = \mathcal{H}_{2k-1}(\mathbf{R}^2) \oplus r^2\mathcal{H}_{2k-3}(\mathbf{R}^2) \oplus \cdots \oplus r^{2(k-2)}\mathcal{H}_3(\mathbf{R}^2) \oplus r^{2(k-1)}\mathcal{H}_1(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} xr^{2(k-1)} &= f_{k-1}f_k + g_{k-1}g_k, \\ yr^{2(k-1)} &= -g_{k-1}f_k + f_{k-1}g_k. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove in the case of $s > k-1$.
If $s-k+1$ is even,

$$\begin{aligned} P_s\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) &\supset P_{s-\frac{s-k+1}{2}}\mathcal{H}_{k+\frac{s-k+1}{2}} \\ &= P_{\frac{s+k-1}{2}}\mathcal{H}_{\frac{s+k+1}{2}} = P_{s+k}. \end{aligned}$$

If $s-k+1$ is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} P_s\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2) &\supset P_{s-\frac{s-k}{2}}\mathcal{H}_{k+\frac{s-k}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^2) \\ &= P_{\frac{s+k}{2}}\mathcal{H}_{\frac{s+k}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $l = \frac{s+k}{2}$. We want to prove that $P_l\mathcal{H}_l = P_{2l}$. However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_l\mathcal{H}_l(\mathbf{R}^2) &\supset P_{l-1}\mathcal{H}_{l+1}(\mathbf{R}^2) \\ &= \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_{2l}^{l} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_{2l}(\mathbf{R}^2) \oplus r^2\mathcal{H}_{2l-2}(\mathbf{R}^2) \oplus \cdots \oplus r^{2(l-1)}\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{R}^2) \end{aligned}$$

and $r^{2l} = f_lf_l + g_lg_l \in P_l\mathcal{H}_l$. □

To conclude the section on harmonic functions, we classify all non-zero harmonic functions:

Proposition 8. Let h be a non-zero harmonic function of order k. Then, h is right equivalent to f_k.

Proof. Since h is a harmonic function, there exists a complex valued function $u\colon (\mathbf{C},0) \to (\mathbf{C},0)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} u = h.$$

Since order of u is equal to k, there exists a complex valued function $\tilde{u}\colon (\mathbf{C},0) \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $u = z^k\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{u}(0) \neq 0$.

We define a local diffeomorphism $\varphi\colon (\mathbf{R}^2,\mathbf{0}) \sim (\mathbf{C},0) \to (\mathbf{C},0) \sim (\mathbf{R}^2,\mathbf{0})$ as

$$\varphi(z) = z\sqrt[k]{\tilde{u}(z)}.$$

Furthermore, we define $u_0\colon (\mathbf{R}^2,\mathbf{0}) \sim (\mathbf{C},0) \to (\mathbf{C},0) \sim (\mathbf{R}^2,\mathbf{0})$ as

$$u_0(z) = z^k.$$

Then, we obtain

$$u_0 \circ \varphi = u.$$

Thus, by taking real part of above equation, we obtain

$$f_k \circ \varphi = h.$$

□

3 Main Results And Proofs

Theorem 1. Let k be a natural number more than or equal to 5 and let h_k be a non-zero homogeneous harmonic polynomial with degree k. Furthermore, for all $s \in \{1,2,\ldots,k-4\}$, we take $\rho_{k+s} \in \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_{k+s}^{\sigma_s}$ where σ_s is defined by

$$\sigma_s = \begin{cases} s+1 & (s < \frac{k-3}{2}) \\ s+2 & (s \geq \frac{k-3}{2}) \end{cases}.$$

Then, for all $\rho_{k+s} \in \operatorname{Ker}\Delta_{k+s}^{\sigma_s}$ and $R_{2k-3} \in \mathfrak{m}_2^{2k-3}$, we have h_k and $h_k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-4}\rho_{k+s} + R_{2k-3}$ are right equivalent.

For proving Theorem 1, we prepare some Lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let k be a natural number. Furthermore, let h_k be a non-zero homogeneous harmonic polynomial with degree k and $R_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{m}_2^{k+1}$. Then, $h_k + R_{k+1}$ is $\max(k, 2k-4)$-determined.

Proof. If $k \leq 4$, we proved that h_k is k-determined(see Proposition [4]). We suppose that $k \geq 5$.

We can take $h_k = f_k$ without loss of generality (see 8).

So,

$$J_{f_k+R_{k+1}} = \langle kf_{k-1} + \frac{\partial R_{k+1}}{\partial x}, -kg_{k-1} + \frac{\partial R_{k+1}}{\partial y}\rangle\mathcal{E}_2.$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 7, we have $\mathfrak{m}_2^{2k-3} \subset \mathfrak{m}_2 J_{f_k+R_{k+1}} + \mathfrak{m}_2^{2k-2}$. Thus, by Proposition 7, we have $f_k + R_{k+1}$ is $(2k-3)$-determined.

Let u, v be two elements in P_{k-2}. Furthermore, we define $\varphi\colon (\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0})$ be a local diffeomorphism as

$$\varphi(x, y) = (x + u, y + v).$$

Then, we have

$$(f_k + R_{k+1}) \circ \varphi \sim_{j^{2k-3}} f_k + R_{k+1} + k(uf_{k-1} - vg_{k-1}).$$

Also, $\{k(uf_{k-1}) - vg_{k-1}; u, v \in P_{k-2}\} = P_{k-2}\mathcal{H}_{k-1}(\mathbf{R}^2) = P_{2k-3}$. Thus, we have the Proposition. □

Following Lemma is used for $s < \frac{k-3}{2}$.

Lemma 2. Let k be a natural number more than or equal to 5 and let h_k be a non-zero homogeneous harmonic polynomial with degree k.

Furthermore, for all $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k-4\}$, we take $\rho_{k+s} \in \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_{k+s}^{s+1}$.

Then, h_k and $h_k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-4} \rho_{k+s}$ are right equivalent.

Proof. We can take $h_k = f_k$ without loss of generality (see Proposition 8).

Furthermore, by Proposition 7, for all $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k-4\}$, $\rho_{k+s} \in \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_{k+s}^{s+1} = P_s\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{R}^2)$, there exists $u, v \in \mathfrak{m}_2$ such that $\sum_{s=1}^{k-4} \rho_{k+s} = uf_k + vg_k$.

Then, we identify $(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ and we define a local diffeomorphism $\varphi\colon (\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0})$ as

$$\varphi(z) = z\sqrt[k]{1 + u - iv}.$$

Then, we have $f_k \circ \varphi = f_k + uf_k + vg_k$. □

Following Lemma is used for $s \geq \frac{k-3}{2}$.

Lemma 3. Let k be a natural number more than or equal to 5 and let h_k be a non-zero homogeneous harmonic polynomial with degree k.

For all $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k-4\}$, we take $\rho_{k+s} \in \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_{k+2}^{s+2}$. Then, there exists $u, v \in P_{s+1}$ such that when we define a local diffeomorphism $\varphi\colon (\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0})$ as $\varphi(x, y) = (x + u, y + v)$, then $h_k \circ \varphi \sim_{j^{k+s}} h_k + \rho_{k+s}$.

Proof. We can take $h_k = f_k$ without loss of generality (see Proposition8).

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k \circ \varphi &= \operatorname{Re}(x + iy + u + iv)^k \\ &\sim_{j^{k+s}} \operatorname{Re}(x + iy)^k + k\operatorname{Re}(x + iy)^{k-1}(u + iv) \\ &= f_k + k(uf_{k-1} - vg_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since Proposition 7, $\{k(uf_{k-1} - vg_{k-1}); u, v \in P_s\} = P_{s+1}\mathcal{H}_{k-1} = \operatorname{Ker} \Delta_{s+k}^{s+2}$.

Thus, we obtain the statement. □

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1, we can take $R_{2k-3} = 0$ without loss of generality.

By Lemma 3, for all natural number $s \geq \frac{k-3}{2}$, there exist $u_{s+1}, v_{s+1} \in P_{s+1}$ such that if we define a local diffeomorphism $\varphi_{s+1} \colon (\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{0})$ as $\varphi_{s+1}(x, y) = (x + u_{s+1}, y + v_{s+1})$, then $h_k \circ \varphi_{s+1} \sim_{j^{2k-4}} h_k - \rho_{k+s}$. Let s_0 be a minimum natural number such that $s \geq \frac{k-3}{2}$. Then, we have

$$(h_k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-4} \rho_{k+s}) \circ \varphi_{s_0+1} \circ \varphi_{s_0+2} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{k-3} \sim_{j^{2k-4}} h_k + \sum_{s=1}^{s_0-1} \rho_{k+s}.$$

Finally, by Lemma 2, $h_k + \sum_{s=1}^{s_0-1} \rho_{k+s}$ and h_k are right equivalent. □

References

[1] N. Aronszajn, T. M. Creese, L. J. Lipkin, "Polyharmonic Functions", Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 1983.

[2] S.Axler, P.Bourdon, "Harmonic Function Theory" Springer, 2001.

[3] J.N. Mather, "Stability of C^∞ mappings, III: Finitely determined mapgerms", Math.I.H.E.S., 35(1968), 127 - 156.

[4] Y.Yuki, "Singularities of functions with harmonic leading terms of two variables", arXiv(2015), 1511.0334.